i	Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB	Document 4059	Filed 10/03/25	Page 1 of 5
1	C. Brooks Cutter (SBN 121407 Jennifer S. Domer (SBN 30582			
2	Celine Cutter (SBN 312622) CUTTER LAW P.C.			
3	401 Watt Avenue Sacramento, CA 95864			
4	Telephone: 916-290-9400 Facsimile: 916-588-9330			
5	Email: bcutter@cutterlaw.com jdomer@cutterlaw.com			
6	ccutter@cutterlaw.com			
7	Attorneys for ROE CL Plaintiff.	a a		
8	Allorneys for ROE CL Flainliff.	S		
9	IN THE	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COLL	DТ
10		THERN DISTRICT		
11	NORI	THERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNI	A
12	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOG PASSENGER SEXUAL AS:	GIES, INC., SAULT	Case No.: 3:23-md	I-03084-CRB
13	LITIGATION		ATTORNEY JEN	NNIFER S. DOMER'S
14	This Document Relates to:		DECLARATION PLAINTIFFS NO	REGARDING OT IN COMPLIANCE
15	Jane Roe CL 17 v. Uber Tech	hnologies		SEPTEMBER 8, 2025
16	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-0491			og D. Drovon
17 18	Jane Roe CL 37 v. Uber Tech Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-0572		Judge: Hon. Charle	es K. Bieyei
19	Jane Roe CL 38 v. Uber Tech Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-0572		Date: October 3, 2 Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom: 6 – 1	
20	Jane Roe CL 67 v. Uber Tecl		Courtiooni. 0	1 1001
21	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-0619	PI-CRB		
22	Jane Roe CL 70 v. Uber Tecl Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-0686			
23	Jane Roe CL 71 v. Uber Tech Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-0686			
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Jane Roe CL 76 v. Uber Tech Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-0756			
26	Jane Roe CL 77 v. Uber Tech			
27	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-0757			
28	Jane Roe CL 79 v. Uber Tech Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-0758			
	JENNIFER S. DOMER'S DECL.	-1- ARATION IN SUPPOR	T OF OPPOSITION TO	O MOTION TO DISMISS
	1			

1 2	Jane Roe CL 81 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-08521-CRB
3	Jane Roe CL 83 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-08525-CRB
4	Jane Roe CL 88 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-09145-CRB
5	Jane Roe CL 91 v. Uber Technologies,
6	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-09235-CRB
7 8	Jane Roe CL 92 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-09237-CRB
9	Jane Roe CL 93 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-09549-CRB
10	Jane Roe CL 98 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-00853-CRB
11 12	Jane Roe CL 101 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-01118-CRB
13	Jane Roe CL 102 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-01120-CRB
14	
15	Jane Roe CL 107 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-01470-CRB
16 17	Jane Roe CL 109 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-01652-CRB
18	Jane Roe CL 110 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-01653-CRB
19	Jane Roe CL 114 v. Uber Technologies,
20	Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-01942-CRB
21	Jane Roe CL 118 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-02132-CRB
22	Jane Roe CL 119 v. Uber Technologies,
23	Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-02133-CRB
24	Jane Roe CL 122 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-02138-CRB
25	Jane Roe CL 126 v. Uber Technologies,
26	Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-02495-CRB
27	Jane Roe CL 138 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-03137-CRB
28	

1 2	Jane Roe CL 139 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-03255-CRB
3	Jane Roe CL 147 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-03811-CRB
<ul><li>4</li><li>5</li></ul>	Jane Roe CL 148 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-03812-CRB
6	Jane Roe CL 150 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-03815-CRB
7	Jane Roe CL 151 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-03816-CRB
9	Jane Roe CL 158 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04038-CRB
10	Jane Roe CL 160 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04205-CRB
12	Jane Roe CL 161 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04206-CRB
13 14	Jane Roe CL 164 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04587-CRB
15	Jane Roe CL 165 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04589-CRB
l6 l7	Jane Roe CL 166 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04591-CRB
18	Jane Roe CL 167 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04670-CRB
19 20	Jane Roe CL 169 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04672-CRB
21	Jane Roe CL 170 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-04705-CRB
22	

I, Jennifer S. Domer, declare as follows:

23

24

25

26

27

28

1. I am an attorney at Cutter Law P.C. admitted to practice before the courts of the State of California. I am a Partner at Cutter Law, P.C., and am one of the counsels of record for all filed Jane Roe CL claimants. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and if called to testify, I would testify competently as to the information below.

10

8

11 12

14 15

13

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

- 2. This declaration is made pursuant to the Court's Order to submit a Declaration within 28 days day of the Order (October 6, 2025 being 28 days from September 8, 2025, the date the Order was signed) as to whether Counsel disagrees with any Plaintiff inclusion in Uber's Declaration, which Defendants submitted on September 23, 2025.
- 3. Counsel's firm has made extensive efforts to reach clients listed as Exhibit A, subject to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.
- 4. Counsel submitted a response to the Opposition Motion on August 26, 2025, and explained we would continue to make efforts to reach any missing claimants.
- 5. Those efforts include extensive phone calls, text messages, emails, physical mailings to last known address, and additional address searches in databases. Counsel has also employed a private investigator to help locate these individuals. Through the database searches and private investigators, Counsel also attempted to reach potential relatives in an effort to reach the Plaintiffs.
- 6. Through those continued efforts, Counsel received three responsive trip receipt documents for clients listed on Defendants' Declaration.
- Counsel submitted an Uber Ride Information Form for Jane Roe CL 160 and Jane 7. Roe CL 170 on September 26, 2025.
- 8. Counsel submitted an Uber Ride Information Form for Jane Roe CL 169 on September 29, 2025.
- 9. Though late, the submission of their PFSs is still prior to the Court entering an Order for Dismissal.
- 10. Counsel would therefore dispute their inclusion on Uber's current list of delinquent Trip Receipts or Ride Information Forms for their Motion, as well as ask for their exclusion on the Court's future entry of Dismissal.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on October 3, 2025, in Sacramento, California.